

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,405	01/31/2002	Yuki Aoyama	16869N-041900US	3749 .
20350	7590 07/05/2005		EXAMINER	
	D AND TOWNSEN RCADERO CENTER	BLACKWEL	L, JAMES H	
EIGHTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANC	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 17 41	A 4: 4/)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/066,405	AOYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James H. Blackwell	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ap	o <u>ril 2005</u> .					
•	•					
, 						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,9 and 11</u> is/are rejected.	b)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,9 and 11</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) <u>2-4,6-8,10 and 12-15</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document		-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	WILLIAM BASHORE WILLIAM BASHORE WILLIAM SASHORE				
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	rtion Summary Pa	art of Paper No /Mail Date 20050627				

Application/Control Number: 10/066,405 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to receipt of amendment on **04/04/2005** in response to a First action sent **12/22/2004** on an original application filed **01/31/2002**.

- 2. Claims 1-15 are pending.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 5-6, and 9-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,813,009. Claims 3 and 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. j 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al.
- 4. Claims 4 and 8 were not examined due to improper multiple dependency. The multiple dependencies have been removed. Claims 4 and 8 will now be examined on the merits.
- 5. Claims 12-15 are new claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-4, 6-8, 10, and 12-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,405

Art Unit: 2176

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1, 5, 9, and 11 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson et al. (hereinafter Johnson, U.S. Patent No. 5,813,009).

In regard to independent Claim 1 (and similarly independent Claims 5, 9, and 11), Johnson teaches a computer based records management system (a document management means) comprising an information filter (linkage) for assuring that record data units offered to the system for storage (an accumulation means) are complete and not redundant. Record data units accepted for the system entry are acknowledged and preferably tagged to enable tracking and forwarded for unit verification and certification. Once a unit is found to be compliant with preset criteria, the unit is stored in permanent storage (document is accumulated) along with a disposal schedule determined for that unit (see Abstract).

Johnson also teaches that *version management* is used to control/reduce multiple iterations of electronic data which may be resident within an organization, across applicable hardware and software platforms and physical and geographical locations (a version control means that manages version control information for the

Application/Control Number: 10/066,405

Art Unit: 2176

accumulation that assigns a version to a prepared transaction document) (Col. 6, lines 15-19).

Johnson also teaches that outbound information or record data units may consist of processed data files, such as EDI data streams produced by business applications 5 (an EDI means that creates and sends EDI data for an electronic transaction) (Col. 13, lines 41-43). It may also consist of information produced at a specific user workstation 7 (e.g., text files, images faxed, etc.), which is considered by the organization or user to be important to the business of the enterprise and therefore, important to be tracked (Col. 13, lines 43-47).

Johnson also teaches an information filtering process (a linkage means that links the document management means and the EDI means), which occurs prior to verification/certification. During information filtering, incomplete or redundant units are identified and such units, for example, may be acknowledged or forwarded for special handling or the like. Information filtering may serve to route input record data units to designated destinations. Preferably, data units passed on for verification/certification are tagged to enable tracking of units through the system, for example, for subsequent auditing (Col. 5, lines 9-18).

Johnson fails to explicitly teach a linkage means that acquires sending information of the EDI data from the EDI means. However, Johnson does teach that the filter attaches to incoming records a tag (i.e., envelope, pointer or reference entry prior to application processing) which can be associated with a database in order to track the

Application/Control Number: 10/066,405

Art Unit: 2176

source, destination, version, storage location(s) and retention data associated with the record (Col. 10, lines 30-49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that among the information tagged in an incoming record for later retrieval from a database, as described by Johnson, would have been sending information as, in order

<u>Johnson</u> also teaches that the filter determines which coded information is maintained for validation, processing, retention, retrieval, information collection and dissemination and ultimate disposal for a single or multiple organization units (*registers* sending information of the EDI data in the version control information of the relevant transaction document) (Col. 10, lines 26-30).

Johnson also teaches that the filter attaches to incoming records a tag (i.e., envelope, pointer or reference entry prior to application processing) which can be associated with a database in order to track the source, destination, version, storage location(s) and retention data associated with the record. Where information does not enter the filter, e.g., scanned input, appropriate tag (or tracking) information is added during the verification/certification process. For internally created information, created either at the individual workstation or by business applications, information considered to be important for saving may be sent to the filter for tagging, prior to being released either internally for further handling, or externally to trading partners, etc. Where no further handling other than verification/certification is required, such information may be routed directly to the verification/certification module for operator handling. In either case, incomplete information is trapped and sent to hold area 4 and held for input of the

Page 6

necessary processing information by the Records Manager or other designated individual (when the accumulated transaction document and the version are selected and attached to the EDI data, then the EDI data is sent) (Col. 10, lines 30-49).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/04/2005 have been fully considered but they are 9. not persuasive. Specifically, applicant argues with respect to independent Claim 1 (and similarly independent Claims 5, 9, and 11), that the claimed processing by "linkage means" is a two-way process, which does not read on the Johnson et al. filtering process. The "filtering process" described by Johnson et al. is a one-way process and is completely different from the present invention. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. There is no indication in Claim 1 (or similarly Claims 5, 9, and 11) that the linkage means is a two-way process. The only distinction of direction in these claims is that EDI data is sent. There is no indication that EDI data is received by the same process.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,405 Page 7

Art Unit: 2176

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James H. Blackwell whose telephone number is 571-272-4089. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather R. Herndon can be reached on 571-272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,405 Page 8

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James H. Blackwell 06/22/05

WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
6/30/20-5